## UCRA Councillors Response to the Upminster Schools – Traffic and Safety Measures (Reference QS 017) Additional Councillor Responses (to be agreed as part of the report).

Para 7.15 Proposal to add double yellow lines

Whilst we think this will help, we have concerns that this will move the problems further down Gaynes Park Rd to Sycamore Avenue, Maple Avenue and Acacia Drive. Officers carried out consultation 2 years ago and the majority of residents opted for parking restrictions in their roads - John Paul Micallef was involved in this project.

Noted but think it would be helpful to consult alongside the wider proposals, which would provide an overall more balanced review of the area. If not agreed this can be removed from the scheme

Para 7 - we think they should add our request to "assist the Scheme 20 mph in Gaynes Park Rd, Park Drive and Argyle Gardens following consultation"

Noted. This can be considered as part of the proposals.

Para 8.13 mentions St. Marys Lane, from The Chase to Pike Lane. We wish to add "we would like to use this opportunity to implement the HAC Scheme agreed in 2018 (width restrictions) to assist in slowing traffic" and could Officers bring back a proposal to Ward Members.

Noted. This can be considered as part of the proposals.

Para 8.20 Where the Jobbers Rest is mentioned, we are making a request to change the crossing to a Puffin crossing.

Thank you for the clarification.

Para 8.7 (highlighted in yellow) talks about their traffic survey in Severn Drive, that's OK. That same paragraph is repeated in 8.19 and again in 9.9. although not relevant to those schools.

Apologies. This is clearly an error and I have amended to say:

St Mary's Lane, outside of the James Oglethorpe Primary School and St Mary's Lane, outside of the Coopers' Company & Coborn School

Other points to consider

There are a number of other things which we believe need to be checked. The Sustran route 136 does not finish at Avon Road (para 8.8). They then say in para 9.32, Route 136 terminates in Hall Lane and refers to Upminster Hall Playing fields! They obviously mean Hall Lane playing fields, but the 136 goes beyond there. In addition, I remain concerned about the figures for accidents in para 5.4. We cannot believe we only have figures from 2005 to 2007. I can

remember Officers giving us more up to date figures than these, for example when we were considering traffic calming measures in St. Mary's Lane, they told us the accident figures. I think that should be 2005 to 2017. If 2007 is correct that's a different question, we should not be 14 years out of date!

I agree and that's why I have included a comment at 5.5 confirming that:

Although the accident statistics detailed above are somewhat out of date, both population and car usage has increased dramatically which raises concerns on vehicle speeds in the current climate. To enable a more accurate analysis for any proposed measures officers have requested up to date statistics from the Metropolitan Police.